

Trainer Track Stats

NH 2022/23

“Winner Finding Made Simple”



Contents

Introduction	1
User's Guide	2
A word on ★'s.....	2
NEW: Green Scale Formatting	2
About A/E.....	3
Disclaimer.....	4
Section 1: The Data	5
Section 2: 'The 2- and 3- Star Team'	6
'The Full Squad'	7
Section 3: The TTS Table	8
Section 4: How to find qualifiers.....	10

Cover image: Coquelicot, a geegeez.co.uk syndicate horse ridden by Rex Dingle and trained by Anthony Honeyball (both sponsored by geegeez.co.uk), wins the Listed EBF Mares' Final National Hunt Flat race at Kempton

Introduction

First of all, congratulations and thank you for downloading Trainer Track Stats NH 2022/23, the leading statistical analysis of racehorse trainer patterns. Over the past five seasons, the trainers in this guide have all earned their place by consistently leading their horses into the winners' enclosure. But the key to this guide is that many of the trainers have a record that is negative overall. That is, if you'd simply bet all of their horses at the track, you'd have made a loss.

However, by carefully selecting specific types of race in which the trainer excels, Trainer Track Stats NH 2022/23 (TTS) provides a unique insight that is not available anywhere else. This further breakdown pinpoints with absolute precision the trainer specialities that you need to focus on.

By only betting horses at 10/1 or shorter, TTS followers do miss the occasional big-priced winner.

But when putting this guide together, I decided that consistency and shorter losing streaks were the best approach, and that's been borne out for our followers over more than fifteen years since the very first edition of TTS was published in 2006.

This year, for the first time, I've also included a handful of high-performing trainers at Irish tracks. As a new addition, I cannot be confident in their replicability but, equally, they have been researched in the exact same way as the British trainer/track combinations. Time will tell...

More generally, please note that due to the statistical nature of this information, losing runs can (and will) occur. I recommend a betting bank to begin with of **at least** 50 points. That is, if you normally bet £5, then a bank of £250 should be used. If you normally bet, £20, then £1000 bank is recommended, and so on.

Win profit is quoted to a notional 1 unit stake at SP while each way profit (EW PL) is quoted as a 1 point win and place unit stake (i.e. 2 units staked). Where the EW PL figure is lower than the Win PL figure it means that trainer/track stat produces a loss on the place part of the each way bet.

One more point: even by horseracing betting sample size standards, some of these are on the small side. That means there is at least a fair chance the statistics are coincidental, though I've used some judgement with regards my overall understanding of the trainers in question and their reliability going forwards. As always, caveat emptor: buyer beware.

In the following pages, you'll find a short section on how to use TTS, which I hope is straightforward. If you have any questions or comments on anything you see or read here, do please contact me at support@summumbonum.co.uk

Congratulations again on securing your copy of TTS, and good luck!



User's Guide

In putting this guide together, I have tried to make things as easy as possible. However, there are one or two terms which newcomers to jump racing may not understand, and so here is a glossary of terms and their meanings.

Term	Meaning
All	All runners (10/1 or shorter) for this trainer at this track
Chases	The larger of the obstacles in NH racing, usually for more mature horses.
NHF	A beginner race for NH horses, National Hunt Flat races involve racing without obstacles.
Handicap (or Hcap)	A handicap is a race where horses carry weight according to their ability, such that each has a theoretically equal chance of winning.
Hurdles	Hurdles are the smaller of the two types of obstacles which NH racing features.
3-7yo	'Year old', e.g. 3-7yo refers to horses aged between 3 and 7
2m4f+	Races over two and a half miles (2m4f) or more

To find the TTS horses, simply [check here for the relevant trainers](#) and race types (you can click the Trainer and Course headings to sort by those) and, if there's a qualifier at 10/1 or shorter, it's a bet! It's as easy as that. But remember, we only bet between October and April, which we consider the real National Hunt season.

A word on ★'s

★'s are awarded to any trainer who has achieved one or more of the following over the last five seasons, with horses sent off at 10/1 or shorter, October to April:

- 15 or more winners at the track
- Strike Rate of 40% or more
- A profit of 25 units or more to a 1 unit stake
- A profit of 1.25 units per runner to a 1 unit stake

The more ★'s, the higher the confidence factor associated with that trainer / track combination. The main list – the A Team if you like – only comprises of trainers with two to four stars. In fact, there are no four star trainers this year: that's how hard it is to achieve!

NEW: Green Scale Formatting

There are no poor performing trainers in this guide, but some have fared better than others in particular elements of their statistical profile. In recognition of this, we've included a new 'green scale'. It's quite simple: a dark green background highlights exceptional performance in a certain component, while a whitish background signifies merely 'well above average' performance.

33.02%	54.45	51.37%	61	57.55
26.09%	10.13	44.04%	11	47.83
30.43%	14.75	64.13%	14	60.87

About A/E

The information in this guide includes references to A/E and IV. Whilst you will lose nothing by not taking a moment to understand these statistical measures, you will certainly gain something if you do!

Important Note!

Before I go on, I want to make it plain that you absolutely do NOT need to understand how the numbers are arrived at. I am adding this info for geeks (like me) and the generally inquisitive.

What you need to know is that better than 1.00 is good, and worse than 1.00 is not good (for backers); and that the further away from 1.00 the better/worse the stat may be.

Actual vs Expected (A/E)

A/E, or the ratio of Actual versus Expected, attempts to establish the value proposition (*profitability in simple terms*) of a statistic. A number above 1 suggests that the angle has a positive profit expectation. That is, it should be worth following.

The 'actual' and 'expected' in A/E are the number of winners.

The actual number of winners is just that. For instance, if Nicky Henderson has had 40 winners from 113 runners, the actual number of winners is 40.

But how do we calculate the 'expected' number of winners? We use a simple formula based on the starting price (you could just as easily use Betfair Starting Price or even tote return if you were sufficiently minded - we've used SP), thus:

Actual number of Hendo winners / Sum of ALL Hendo runners' SP's (in percentage terms)

Which we know at this stage to be 40 / Sum of ALL Hendo runners' SP's (in percentage terms)

To establish a runner's SP in percentage terms, we do the sum $1/(SP + 1)$.

So 4/1 SP would be $1/(4 + 1)$, or 1/5, which is 0.20.

1/4 SP would be $1/(0.25 + 1)$, or 1/1.25, which is 0.8.

And so on...

The sum of Henderson's 113 runners' starting prices in the last five years, calculated in the above fashion, is 32.078.

His A/E then is $40 / 32.078$ which is 1.247, or 1.25 rounded.

We can then say that Nicky Henderson's horses in this example have performed 25% above market expectation in the last five years. Based on a reasonable sample size of 113 runners (all sample sizes for these reports are unacceptably small for categorical pronouncements, but in many cases are perfectly sufficient for wagering chances to be taken), we can say that in general terms his horses look worth following.

Disclaimer

We understand that gambling can become an addiction that can cause great harm if not controlled. Despite the excellent historical track records of our trainers, we respectfully remind you that you should only ever bet with an amount of money that you can afford to lose.

For help with regard to a gambling problem, or more information on the subject, please visit:

<https://www.gamcare.org.uk>



Section 1: The Data

The trainer/track combinations in the following table (section 3) are the 73 best from a starting list of almost 800 wannabe combos.

Focusing only on the two- and three-star trainers, there are a total of 31 combinations. These trainers, when backed at the selected tracks and under the identified criteria, produced a starting price profit of £9,066.80 to £10 stakes between October and April in the seasons 2017/18 to 2021/22.

The total SP profit from backing all qualifying trainer/track combinations in the five-year research period (i.e. including the one- and zero-star combo's) would have been £15,736.50 for £10 stakes.

WARNING: Now, please be realistic. Some of the sample sizes are extremely small even by racehorse trainer research standards and, as such, caution is advised. It is unrealistic to expect a full replication of the historical performance; even if trainers continue to win at a similar rate – which is perfectly plausible but far from guaranteed – the odds on their qualifying runners can be expected to diminish over time as the market cottons on.

At the end of the day, *it is not for me to advise readers on how to deploy the information in this guide*. That said, I would expect the group's overall qualifiers to perform very well in the 2022/23 season and to stand a solid chance of making a fair profit as a team.

All the more so, perhaps, at Betfair SP. Again, *please keep in mind that these are historical figures and I make no promise or guarantee as to their future repeatability*

Section 2: 'The 2- and 3- Star Team'

Looking in more depth at 'The A Team', those trainers with two or three stars at their tracks, the overall figures are as follows:

2 to 3 Star	
Bets	878
Wins	373
Places	547
Win %	42.48%
EW %	62.30%
Win PL	906.68
EW PL	1052.70
Win ROI	103.27%

42% is a sensational hit rate, so much so that I don't expect that it will be maintained. Rather I would estimate that 20-25% is a better expectation. Likewise, the 62% each way strike rate should probably be rounded down to circa 45% to 50%. Nevertheless, those numbers should hopefully ensure that losing runs are minimised.

Again, a return on investment of 103% should not be expected to be even nearly maintained, and here it is more difficult to ascertain what the likely ROI might be, given the combination of a degree of 'educated back-fitting' in the research, small sample sizes, and increasing market awareness.

What I am confident about is that all of these trainers' runners should be afforded close scrutiny if you're considering a bet in a race where they line up.

'The Full Squad'

The full squad, which includes all combinations regardless of number of stars, boasts a historical win strike rate of 40%, and an ROI of almost 90%.

Again, by no manner of means do I expect a replication of those figures; but equally, it will be disappointing if the collective return fails to pay for all the losers and leave some bread on the table.

Naturally, early best odds guaranteed prices or Betfair SP will beat the SP returns.

Overall	
Bets	1770
Wins	721
Places	1078
Win %	40.73%
EW %	60.90%
Win PL	1573.65
EW PL	1827.35
Win ROI	88.91%

Section 3: The TTS Table

The trainers below all have excellent records in the noted conditions at the respective tracks. I have highlighted by use of the 'greenness' scale those with strongest performance: these are the pick of the pile as outlined in the User's Guide section above, often faring well but without collecting a coveted star for a particular criterion.

Trainer	Course	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	ROI	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	IV	**s
D Skelton	Ascot	All	48	13	27.08%	21.66	45.13%	25	52.08	26.76	1.3	2.18	0
D Skelton	Ayr	Hcap chases	15	7	46.67%	14.83	98.87%	9	60	15.19	2.17	4.88	1
D McCain	Bangor	Hurdles, Chases	106	35	33.02%	54.45	51.37%	61	57.55	62.99	1.4	2.67	2
H Daly	Bangor	All	23	7	30.43%	14.75	64.13%	14	60.87	22.49	1.79	2.69	0
J Candlish	Bangor	Handicaps	23	6	26.09%	10.13	44.04%	11	47.83	8.63	1.38	2.26	0
N Richards	Carlisle	All	86	28	32.56%	46.51	54.08%	46	53.49	41.17	1.41	2.73	2
P Kirby	Catterick	Class 1-4	21	8	38.10%	24.21	115.29%	14	66.67	31.19	1.98	3.14	0
H de Bromhead	Cheltenham	Class 1	43	15	34.88%	30.03	69.84%	23	53.49	30.44	1.56	3.74	2
T Lacey	Chepstow	2m4f+	20	11	55.00%	26.88	134.40%	13	65	30.38	2.37	4.9	3
N Mulholland	Chepstow	Hurdles	18	6	33.33%	16.33	90.72%	10	55.56	18.82	1.87	3.65	0
M Winters	Cork	Handicaps	12	6	50.00%	7.63	63.58%	10	83.33	14.22	2.11	6.58	1
P Roche	Fairyhouse	All	15	8	53.33%	33.00	220.00%	10	66.67	38.18	2.45	5.65	3
P Fahey	Fairyhouse	NHF, Hurdles	18	9	50.00%	23.75	131.94%	14	77.78	32.24	2.08	6.39	2
Mrs L Wadham	Fakenham	9yo or younger	56	20	35.71%	30.51	54.48%	28	50	24.70	1.48	2.47	2
C Williams	Fakenham	2m6f+	30	12	40.00%	12.37	41.23%	17	56.67	8.96	1.41	2.59	1
P Nicholls	Ffos Las	All	19	7	36.84%	9.58	50.42%	11	57.89	9.98	1.54	3.37	0
A Honeyball	Fontwell	NHF, Hurdles	46	23	50.00%	21.63	47.02%	32	69.57	21.38	1.51	4.34	2
A King	Fontwell	Non-handicaps	19	8	42.11%	17.84	93.89%	14	73.68	22.88	1.35	3.88	1
Miss V Williams	Fontwell	All	17	8	47.06%	9.87	58.06%	10	58.82	9.37	1.55	3.16	1
J Scott	Fontwell	NHF, Hurdles	19	7	36.84%	18.75	98.68%	12	63.16	23.17	1.64	3.57	0
F M O'Brien	Haydock	Chases	10	4	40.00%	17.00	170.00%	7	70	22.28	2.14	3.04	2
J Snowden	Haydock	Class 2-5	14	7	50.00%	10.96	78.29%	9	64.29	14.72	2	3.32	1
Jonjo O'Neill	Hereford	Hcap chases	10	7	70.00%	18.95	189.50%	8	80	22.64	2.63	4.34	2
Miss V Williams	Hereford	Handicaps	46	15	32.61%	23.38	50.83%	23	50	21.37	1.53	2.85	1
N Mulholland	Hereford	All	26	9	34.62%	14.42	55.46%	16	61.54	18.84	1.62	3.21	0
T Symonds	Hereford	Handicaps	21	6	28.57%	11.83	56.33%	10	47.62	10.71	1.42	2.68	0
Jedd O'Keeffe	Hexham	All	11	7	63.64%	12.32	112.00%	10	90.91	16.66	1.72	6.42	1
Mrs C Bailey	Huntingdon	Hcaps 2m-2m4f	15	7	46.67%	29.25	195.00%	9	60	32.93	2.54	3.52	3
T Lacey	Huntingdon	All	25	10	40.00%	16.32	65.28%	13	52	12.53	1.55	3.92	1
Mrs A Hamilton	Kelso	All	18	7	38.89%	17.21	95.61%	8	44.44	15.79	1.72	3.09	0
H De Bromhead	Kilbeggan	Non-handicaps	13	8	61.54%	8.48	65.23%	11	84.62	11.29	1.53	7.53	1
O Murphy	Leicester	Class 4-5	22	12	54.55%	32.21	146.41%	18	81.82	42.66	2.12	4.55	3
R Tyner	Limerick	Up to 2m6f	20	8	40.00%	26.25	131.25%	14	70	36.70	2.33	4.78	3
P Nolan	Limerick	NHF, Hurdles	31	12	38.71%	25.24	81.42%	19	61.29	34.53	1.89	5.02	1
Miss V Williams	Ludlow	2m6f+	35	14	40.00%	45.79	130.83%	21	60	56.74	1.89	3.39	3
H Daly	Ludlow	3m+	26	11	42.31%	31.00	119.23%	16	61.54	36.88	2.05	3.48	2

Dr R Newland	Mrket Rasen	All	19	9	47.37%	23.24	122.32%	13	68.42	30.27	2.07	3.86	1
L Morgan	Musselburgh	All	15	8	53.33%	12.68	84.53%	9	60	11.16	1.45	3.91	1
Mrs R Dobbin	Musselburgh	All	35	9	25.71%	29.00	82.86%	18	51.43	36.25	1.35	2.33	1
P Nicholls	Musselburgh	Handicaps	25	10	40.00%	11.78	47.12%	15	60	11.62	1.45	3.38	1
O McKiernan	Naas	Non-handicaps	10	5	50.00%	11.16	111.60%	8	80	14.92	1.7	6.35	1
P Nolan	Naas	5yo+	19	7	36.84%	23.00	121.05%	13	68.42	34.52	2.01	4.77	0
D Pipe	Newbury	Handicaps	23	9	39.13%	42.33	184.04%	14	60.87	54.31	2.35	4.34	2
Miss V Williams	Newbury	Hcap chases	16	6	37.50%	23.50	146.88%	12	75	32.89	1.78	3.19	1
N Henderson	Newcastle	All	12	9	75.00%	6.11	50.92%	10	83.33	6.25	1.41	5.32	1
H Fry	Nwton Abbot	Class 4-5	11	7	63.64%	12.95	117.73%	7	63.64	12.64	2.19	6.81	1
G Elliott	Perth	All	22	9	40.91%	12.43	56.50%	13	59.09	13.26	1.43	3.37	1
P Nicholls	Plumpton	NHF, Hurdles	19	10	52.63%	18.87	99.32%	11	57.89	17.57	1.7	4.74	1
D Pipe	Plumpton	8yo or younger	27	9	33.33%	13.66	50.59%	16	59.26	16.78	1.54	2.83	0
C Williams	Sedgefield	Handicaps	14	8	57.14%	12.29	87.79%	9	64.29	11.98	1.89	4	1
M Todhunter	Sedgefield	Handicaps	24	7	29.17%	12.66	52.75%	12	50	12.51	1.59	2.35	0
G Elliott	Sligo	All	13	8	61.54%	16.88	129.85%	10	76.92	19.78	1.8	5.69	2
L Morgan	Southwell	Up to 2m5f	16	10	62.50%	27.21	170.06%	11	68.75	31.02	2.58	4.8	3
G Hanmer	Southwell	All	15	7	46.67%	19.00	126.67%	10	66.67	22.44	1.88	3.61	2
M Keighley	Southwell	All	24	11	45.83%	29.50	122.92%	17	70.83	38.20	1.98	4.05	2
Dr R Newland	Southwell	All	16	7	43.75%	11.55	72.19%	8	50	8.26	1.6	3.47	1
O Greenall & J Guerriero	Southwell	All	19	7	36.84%	20.75	109.21%	11	57.89	26.96	1.77	3.63	0
N Twiston-Davies	Stratford	2m4f+	17	8	47.06%	29.66	174.47%	10	58.82	32.38	2.26	4.15	3
R Walford	Taunton	Up to 2m4f	16	7	43.75%	23.10	144.38%	10	62.5	27.89	1.97	4.68	2
T Lacey	Taunton	Hcap hurdles	13	7	53.85%	24.13	185.62%	9	69.23	28.63	2.62	5.8	2
N Hawke	Taunton	Hurdles	36	11	30.56%	25.50	70.83%	21	58.33	32.45	1.61	3.52	1
W Greatrex	Taunton	NHF, Hurdles	23	9	39.13%	12.09	52.57%	14	60.87	13.43	1.63	4.03	0
W Mullins	Tramore	Hurdles, Chases	46	24	52.17%	21.29	46.28%	37	80.43	33.17	1.4	5.47	2
H Fry	Uttoxeter	All	41	18	43.90%	39.23	95.68%	26	63.41	45.18	1.63	4.47	3
H Oliver	Uttoxeter	Class 4-5 Hcaps	14	7	50.00%	29.85	213.21%	9	64.29	34.67	2.53	5.21	3
K Lee	Uttoxeter	Hurdles, Chases	27	10	37.04%	36.75	136.11%	13	48.15	38.86	1.76	2.95	2
K Bailey	Warwick	Males only	25	9	36.00%	22.25	89.00%	12	48	20.90	1.7	3.77	0
Mrs P Sly	Wetherby	Hcap hurdles	13	6	46.15%	23.00	176.92%	10	76.92	29.53	2.3	4.22	2
P Kirby	Wetherby	Hurdles	48	16	33.33%	32.21	67.10%	24	50	29.50	1.62	3.21	2
R Menzies	Wetherby	3m+	9	6	66.67%	21.50	238.89%	7	77.78	26.28	3.27	5.78	2
J O'Brien	Wexford	All	20	9	45.00%	17.24	86.20%	13	65	22.74	1.95	4.53	1
C Gordon	Wincanton	NHF, Hurdles	15	7	46.67%	23.83	158.87%	10	66.67	28.55	2.19	4.76	2
P Hobbs	Worcester	2m4f+	16	7	43.75%	16.15	100.94%	10	62.5	19.19	1.89	4.02	1

Section 4: How to find qualifiers

Identifying qualifiers is a simple process, as follows:

1. Check the racecard of your choice and **identify the National Hunt meetings:**

Ayr	Heavy ⌵	12:30	13:05	13:40	14:10	14:40	15:10	15:40		
Ffos Las	Heavy ⌵	12:45	13:15	13:50	14:20	14:50	15:20	15:50		
Exeter	Good/Firm ⌵	13:30	14:00	14:30	15:00	15:30	16:00	16:30	17:00	
Thurles	Yielding ⌵	14:15	14:45	15:15	15:45	16:15	16:45	17:20		
Southwell	Std/Slow ⌵	16:10	16:40	17:10	17:40	18:15	18:45	19:15	19:45	20:15
Chelmsford	Standard ⌵	17:30	18:00	18:30	19:00	19:30	20:00	20:30		

2. Go to the **A-Z page on geegeez:** <https://www.geegeez.co.uk/reports/a-to-z/>
3. Look for relevant trainer / track combinations on that page, by sorting first on *trainer* column and then on *course* column, e.g. D Pipe at Plumpton:

14:20:00	Plumpton	6	Special Buddy	B Pauling	Kielan Woods	Robin Des Pres	9/5
16:20:00	Plumpton	4	Pawpaw	B Pauling	Kielan Woods	Showcasing	8/1
14:50:00	Plumpton	2	Borntobealeader	D Pipe	Tom Scudamore	Churchill	5/6
16:20:00	Plumpton	1	Cadeau D'Or	D Pipe	Tom Scudamore	Le Havre	13/2
15:50:00	Plumpton	5	Trumps Benefit	R Potter	Caolin Quinn	Beneficial	4/1
15:20:00	Plumpton	3	Runrized	C Poulton	Charlie Price	Authorized	150/1

4. Ensure criteria are met:

D Pipe	Plumpton	8yo or younger	27	9	33.33%	13.66	50.59%	16	59.26	16.78	1.54	2.83	0
--------	----------	----------------	----	---	--------	-------	--------	----	-------	-------	------	------	---

[In this example, we can see that D Pipe at Plumpton has '8yo or younger' for conditions]

5. Check the odds. Runners at 10/1 or shorter qualify. Here, it looks very likely that both Borntobealeader and Cadeau d'Or will qualify assuming they're eight or younger (check the racecard)
6. Decide whether or not to make a bet. Your call.
7. That's it – enjoy!

Good luck!

Matt Bisogno