

Trainer Track Stats

NH 2020/21

“Winner Finding Made Simple”



Table of Contents

Contents

Table of Contents	ii
Introduction	1
User's Guide.....	2
A word on ★'s	2
About A/E	2
Disclaimer	4
Trainer Track Stats 2020/21.....	5
Aintree	5
Ascot	5
Ayr	5
Bangor.....	5
Carlisle	5
Catterick.....	5
Chepstow	6
Doncaster	6
Exeter.....	6
Fakenham.....	6
Ffos Las	6
Fontwell	6
Hereford.....	6
Hexham.....	7
Huntingdon	7
Kelso	7
Kempton	7
Leicester.....	7
Lingfield.....	7
Ludlow	7
Market Rasen	8
Musselburgh.....	8

Newbury.....	8
Perth	8
Sandown	8
Sedgefield.....	8
Southwell	8
Stratford.....	9
Taunton.....	9
Uttoxeter.....	9
Warwick	9
Wetherby	9
Worcester	9
Appendix 1: The Data	10
Appendix 2 A: ‘The 2- and 3- Star Team’	11
Appendix 2 B: ‘The Full Squad’	12
Appendix 3: The TTS Table.....	13
Appendix 4: How to find qualifiers.....	15

Cover image: Jepeck, ridden by Rex Dingle and trained by Anthony Honeyball, wins the Veterans’ Handicap Chase Final at Sandown. Image credit and copyright: Steven Cargill / Racingfotos

Introduction

First of all, congratulations and thank you for trying Trainer Track Stats NH 2020/21, the leading statistical analysis of racehorse trainer patterns. Over the past five seasons, the trainers in this guide have all earned their place by consistently leading their horses into the winners' enclosure. But the key to this guide is that many of the trainers have a record that is negative overall. That is, if you'd simply bet all of their horses at the track, you'd have made a loss.

However, by carefully selecting specific types of race in which the trainer excels, Trainer Track Stats NH 2020/21 (TTS) provides a unique insight that is not available anywhere else. This further breakdown pinpoints with absolute precision the trainer specialities that you need to focus on.

By only betting horses at 10/1 or shorter, TTS followers do miss the occasional big-priced winner.

But when putting this guide together, I decided that consistency and shorter losing streaks was the route forward, and that's been borne out for our followers over a dozen years since the very first edition of TTS was published in 2006.

However, do please note that due to the statistical nature of this information, losing runs can occur. I recommend a betting bank to begin with of **at least** 50 points. That is, if you normally bet £5, then a bank of £250 should be used. If you normally bet, £20, then £1000 bank is recommended, and so on.

One more point: even by horseracing betting sample size standards, some of these are on the small side. That means there is a fair chance the statistics are coincidental, though I've used some judgement with regards my overall understanding of the trainers in question and their reliability going forwards. As always, caveat emptor: buyer beware.

Below, you'll find a short section on how to use TTS, which I hope is straightforward. If you have any questions or comments on anything you see or read here, do please contact me at support@summumbonum.co.uk

Congratulations again on securing your copy of TTS, and good luck!



User's Guide

In putting this guide together, I have tried to make things as easy as possible. However, there are one or two terms which newcomers to jump racing may not understand, and so here is a glossary of terms and their meanings.

Term	Meaning
All	All runners (10/1 or shorter) for this trainer at this track
Chases	The larger of the obstacles in NH racing, usually for more mature horses.
NH Flat	A beginner race for NH horses, involving racing without obstacles.
Handicap (or Hcap)	A handicap is a race where horses carry weight according to their ability, such that each has a theoretically equal chance of winning.
Hurdles	Hurdles are the smaller of the two types of obstacles which NH racing features.
3-7yo	'Year old', e.g. 3-7yo refers to horses aged between 3 and 7
2m4f+	Races over two and a half miles (2m4f) or more

To find the TTS horses, simply [check here for the relevant trainers](#) and race types (you can click the Trainer and Course headings to sort by those) and, if there's a qualifier at 10/1 or shorter, it's a bet! It's as easy as that. But remember, we only bet between September and April, which we consider the real National Hunt season.

A word on ★'s

★'s are awarded to any trainer who has achieved one or more of the following over the last five seasons, with horses sent off at 10/1 or shorter, September to April:

- 15 or more winners at the track
- Strike Rate of 40% or more
- A profit of 25 units or more to a 1 unit stake
- A profit of 1.25 units per runner to a 1 unit stake

The more ★'s, the higher the confidence factor associated with that trainer / track combination. The main list – the A Team if you like – only comprises of trainers with two to four stars. In fact, there are no four star trainers this year: that's how hard it is to achieve!

About A/E

The information in this guide includes references to A/E and IV. Whilst you will lose nothing by not taking a moment to understand these statistical measures, you will certainly gain something if you do!

Important Note!

Before I go on, I want to make it plain that you absolutely do NOT need to understand how the numbers are arrived at. I am adding this info for geeks and the generally inquisitive.

What you need to know is that better than 1.00 is good, and worse than 1.00 is not good (for backers); and that the further away from 1.00 the better/worse the stat may be.

Actual vs Expected (A/E)

A/E, or the ratio of Actual versus Expected, attempts to establish the value proposition (*profitability in simple terms*) of a statistic. A number above 1 suggests that the angle has a positive profit expectation. That is, it should be worth following.

The 'actual' and 'expected' in A/E are the number of winners.

The actual number of winners is just that. For instance, if Nicky Henderson has had 40 winners from 113 runners, the actual number of winners is 40.

But how do we calculate the 'expected' number of winners? We use a simple formula based on the starting price (you could just as easily use Betfair Starting Price or even tote return if you were sufficiently minded - we've used SP), thus:

Actual number of Hendo winners / Sum of ALL Hendo runners' SP's (in percentage terms)

Which we know at this stage to be 40 / Sum of ALL Hendo runners' SP's (in percentage terms)

To establish a runner's SP in percentage terms, we do the sum $1/(SP + 1)$.

So 4/1 SP would be $1/(4 + 1)$, or 1/5, which is 0.20.

1/4 SP would be $1/(0.25 + 1)$, or 1/1.25, which is 0.8.

And so on...

The sum of Henderson's 113 runners' starting prices in the last five years, calculated in the above fashion, is 32.078.

His A/E then is $40 / 32.078$ which is 1.247, or 1.25 for cash.

We can then say that Nicky Henderson's horses in this example have performed 25% above market expectation in the last five years. Based on a reasonable sample size of 113 runners (all sample sizes for these reports are unacceptably small for categorical pronouncements, but in many cases are perfectly sufficient for wagering chances to be taken), we can say that in general terms his horses look worth following.

Disclaimer

We understand that gambling can become an addiction that can cause great harm if not controlled. Despite the excellent historical track records of our trainers, we respectfully remind you that you should only ever bet with an amount of money that you can afford to lose.

For help with regard to a gambling problem, or more information on the subject, please visit:

<https://www.gamcare.org.uk>



Trainer Track Stats 2020/21

The trainers below all have excellent records in the noted conditions at the respective tracks. I have highlighted in bold text those with two or three stars: these are the pick of the pile as outlined in the User's Guide section above.

Aintree

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
C Tizzard	Aintree	Class 1, 2m4f+	17	10	58.8%	28.32	13	76.5%	35.14	2.34	166.59%	3
H Fry	Aintree	All	24	7	29.2%	11.73	9	37.5%	5.49	1.29	48.88%	0

Ascot

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Dr R Newland	Ascot	Hurdles	9	5	55.6%	24.75	5	55.6%	26.64	3.68	275.00%	2

Ayr

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
F M O'Brien	Ayr	All	9	6	66.7%	24.03	6	66.7%	26.50	2.5	267.00%	2
A Whillans	Ayr	All	18	6	33.3%	36.50	10	55.6%	45.03	2.54	202.78%	2
I Jardine	Ayr	Class 4-6	34	12	35.3%	35.98	22	64.7%	45.38	1.58	105.82%	1
Miss L Harrison	Ayr	All	17	5	29.4%	18.00	9	52.9%	22.20	2.07	105.88%	0
D Skelton	Ayr	All	39	14	35.9%	16.07	23	59.0%	18.92	1.34	41.21%	0

Bangor

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
J Candlish	Bangor	Chases	11	5	45.5%	15.25	6	54.5%	15.73	2.53	138.64%	2
D Skelton	Bangor	All	43	17	39.5%	29.11	27	62.8%	34.06	1.46	67.70%	2
Miss L Russell	Bangor	Chases	11	5	45.5%	10.83	7	63.6%	14.43	2.1	98.45%	1

Carlisle

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
N Richards	Carlisle	Bumpers/Hurdles	36	17	47.2%	41.45	23	63.9%	43.77	1.72	115.14%	3
M Barnes	Carlisle	All	16	6	37.5%	28.50	10	62.5%	36.46	2.47	178.13%	2
S Crawford	Carlisle	B Hughes / R Johnson	16	9	56.3%	14.31	11	68.8%	15.14	1.82	89.44%	1
N Hawke	Carlisle	All	17	7	41.2%	9.50	10	58.8%	10.27	1.43	55.88%	1

Catterick

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
P Kirby	Catterick	Class 3-4	14	6	42.9%	18.88	11	78.6%	27.13	2.02	134.86%	2
S England	Catterick	2m4f+	20	9	45.0%	22.91	14	70.0%	29.49	2.1	114.55%	1
R Menzies	Catterick	All	24	8	33.3%	16.21	14	58.3%	21.13	1.8	67.54%	0

Chepstow

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
T George	Chepstow	Class 3-6	37	15	40.5%	20.21	19	51.4%	13.76	1.54	54.62%	2

Doncaster

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
B Case	Doncaster	All	14	6	42.9%	18.46	8	57.1%	22.25	2.42	131.86%	2
H Fry	Doncaster	All	13	6	46.2%	12.00	8	61.5%	14.16	1.81	92.31%	1

Exeter

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
E Williams	Exeter	Handicaps	37	12	32.4%	25.13	16	43.2%	19.24	1.74	67.92%	1
N King	Exeter	All	17	6	35.3%	19.11	12	70.6%	30.20	1.69	112.41%	0

Fakenham

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Mrs L Wadham	Fakenham	Up to 2m4f	34	15	44.1%	35.38	21	61.8%	41.48	1.93	104.06%	3
A King	Fakenham	All	8	5	62.5%	18.83	6	75.0%	22.49	1.91	235.38%	2
C Williams	Fakenham	All	20	10	50.0%	17.29	12	60.0%	16.41	1.86	86.45%	1

Ffos Las

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
K Bailey	Ffos Las	Up to 3m	12	6	50.0%	15.28	7	58.3%	17.30	1.98	127.33%	2
Mrs D Hamer	Ffos Las	Chases	12	5	41.7%	14.50	7	58.3%	17.26	2.06	120.83%	1
Miss V Williams	Ffos Las	Handicaps	20	8	40.0%	23.91	11	55.0%	25.17	2.05	119.55%	1

Fontwell

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Mrs L Hill	Fontwell	All	13	8	61.5%	26.60	11	84.6%	36.20	2.94	204.62%	3
A Honeyball	Fontwell	All	53	22	41.5%	35.28	35	66.0%	41.58	1.34	66.57%	3
K Lee	Fontwell	All	8	5	62.5%	16.00	6	75.0%	19.93	2.88	200.00%	2

Hereford

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Miss V Williams	Hereford	6yo+	37	14	37.8%	31.73	19	51.4%	31.92	1.73	85.76%	1

Hexham

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
A Whillans	Hexham	Hurdles	11	5	45.5%	18.38	7	63.6%	21.75	2.63	167.09%	2
Jedd O'Keeffe	Hexham	All	8	5	62.5%	10.42	7	87.5%	13.68	1.76	130.25%	2
N Richards	Hexham	All	23	12	52.2%	20.70	15	65.2%	21.74	1.54	90.00%	1

Huntingdon

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
T Lacey	Huntingdon	Handicaps	11	5	45.5%	11.08	6	54.5%	10.09	1.59	100.73%	1

Kelso

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Miss S Forster	Kelso	Chases	14	7	50.0%	17.34	11	78.6%	25.58	2.68	123.86%	1

Kempton

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
K Bailey	Kempton	2m4f+	15	7	46.7%	35.38	10	66.7%	44.23	2.54	235.87%	3
C Longsdon	Kempton	Chases	15	6	40.0%	26.25	8	53.3%	31.06	2.94	175.00%	3
B Pauling	Kempton	Non-handicaps	11	7	63.6%	24.44	9	81.8%	30.82	2.47	222.18%	2

Leicester

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
T George	Leicester	Handicaps	28	12	42.9%	25.55	20	71.4%	36.01	1.99	91.25%	2
P Hobbs	Leicester	All	17	8	47.1%	14.63	15	88.2%	22.53	1.31	86.06%	1
Mrs C Bailey	Leicester	All	27	9	33.3%	11.99	16	59.3%	15.17	1.32	44.41%	0

Lingfield

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Miss Z Davison	Lingfield	Class 3-4	17	8	47.1%	24.13	10	58.8%	27.10	2.41	141.94%	2

Ludlow

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
A Honeyball	Ludlow	All	7	5	71.4%	13.00	5	71.4%	14.08	2.51	185.71%	1
P Bowen	Ludlow	All	13	5	38.5%	14.88	5	38.5%	11.91	1.66	114.46%	0
G McPherson	Ludlow	Handicaps	13	5	38.5%	14.13	7	53.8%	16.51	2.12	108.69%	0

Market Rasen

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Dr R Newland	Market Rasen	Class 3-5	15	8	53.3%	23.63	10	66.7%	27.66	2.12	157.53%	2
H Fry	Market Rasen	All	23	9	39.1%	14.58	11	47.8%	9.86	1.34	63.39%	0

Musselburgh

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
P Nicholls	Musselburgh	Handicaps	17	10	58.8%	23.03	12	70.6%	26.57	2.18	135.47%	2
C Longsdon	Musselburgh	All	14	5	35.7%	14.63	10	71.4%	22.03	1.54	104.50%	0

Newbury

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Nick Williams	Newbury	All	20	6	30.0%	17.00	12	60.0%	23.37	1.64	85.00%	0
W Greatrex	Newbury	All	40	12	30.0%	17.26	20	50.0%	19.10	1.48	43.15%	0

Perth

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
P Bowen	Perth	All	10	6	60.0%	15.26	8	80.0%	20.45	2.62	152.60%	2
F M O'Brien	Perth	Handicaps	25	8	32.0%	23.50	10	40.0%	19.22	1.6	94.00%	0

Sandown

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
S Edmunds	Sandown	All	12	6	50.0%	27.13	8	66.7%	32.09	2.53	226.08%	3
F M O'Brien	Sandown	All	26	9	34.6%	18.13	12	46.2%	15.56	1.65	69.73%	0

Sedgefield

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
N Mulholland	Sedgefield	All	35	17	48.6%	19.51	22	62.9%	18.79	1.39	55.74%	2
C Williams	Sedgefield	All	9	5	55.6%	10.79	6	66.7%	11.68	2.13	119.89%	1
G Bewley	Sedgefield	All	14	5	35.7%	9.88	8	57.1%	12.99	1.95	70.57%	0
E Williams	Sedgefield	All	29	11	37.9%	14.58	17	58.6%	13.71	1.45	50.28%	0

Southwell

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
A Hales	Southwell	Handicaps	11	5	45.5%	28.50	9	81.8%	39.39	2.87	259.09%	3
L Morgan	Southwell	All	11	6	54.5%	18.88	6	54.5%	19.58	2.91	171.64%	2
Miss C Fryer	Southwell	All	13	5	38.5%	16.78	8	61.5%	22.03	1.98	129.08%	1

Stratford

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
T George	Stratford	All	22	10	45.5%	13.07	13	59.1%	10.93	1.68	59.41%	1

Taunton

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
N Twiston-Davies	Taunton	Class 3-4	11	7	63.6%	24.15	7	63.6%	26.51	2.45	219.55%	2
T Lacey	Taunton	Up to 2m4f	12	5	41.7%	14.80	8	66.7%	19.39	2.01	123.33%	1

Uttoxeter

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
J Groucott	Uttoxeter	Chases	9	5	55.6%	16.13	5	55.6%	16.91	3.09	179.22%	2
W Greatrex	Uttoxeter	Class 4-6 Non H'caps	21	10	47.6%	25.35	14	66.7%	29.59	1.6	120.71%	2
K Lee	Uttoxeter	Class 3-4	24	9	37.5%	22.50	12	50.0%	23.09	1.85	93.75%	0
H Fry	Uttoxeter	All	31	12	38.7%	17.55	22	71.0%	26.28	1.41	56.61%	0

Warwick

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
G L Moore	Warwick	Class 1-4	13	6	46.2%	28.50	8	61.5%	33.33	2.76	219.23%	3
N King	Warwick	All	17	5	29.4%	10.88	10	58.8%	17.26	1.75	64.00%	0

Wetherby

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
Dr R Newland	Wetherby	Chases	12	8	66.7%	21.05	8	66.7%	22.80	2.45	175.42%	2
P Kirby	Wetherby	All	76	26	34.2%	48.56	38	50.0%	49.83	1.76	63.89%	2

Worcester

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
N Twiston-Davies	Worcester	All	35	15	42.9%	26.63	18	51.4%	21.87	1.73	76.09%	3
P Hobbs	Worcester	Hurdles	22	12	54.5%	21.61	16	72.7%	26.28	1.6	98.23%	1

Appendix 1: The Data

The trainer/track combinations in the preceding pages are the 78 best from a starting list of almost 800 wannabe combos.

Focusing only on the two- and three-star trainers, there are a total of 38 combinations. These trainers, when backed at the selected tracks and under the identified criteria, produced a starting price profit of £9,436.90 to £10 stakes between September and April in the seasons 2015/16 to 2019/20.

The total SP profit from backing all qualifying trainer/track combinations in the five-year research period (i.e. including the one- and zero-star combo's) would have been £16,181.90 for £10 stakes.

WARNING: Now, please be realistic. Some of the sample sizes are extremely small even by racehorse trainer research standards and, as such, caution is advised. It is unrealistic to expect a full replication of the historical performance; even if trainers continue to win at a similar rate – which is perfectly plausible – the odds on their qualifying runners should be expected to diminish over time as the market wises up.

At the end of the day, ***it is not for me to advise readers on how to deploy the information in this guide***. That said, I would expect the group's overall qualifiers to perform very well in the 2020/21 season and to stand a solid chance of making a fair profit as a team.

All the more so, perhaps, at Betfair SP. Again, please keep in mind that these are historical figures and I make no promise or guarantee as to their future repeatability!

Appendix 2 A: 'The 2- and 3- Star Team'

Looking in more depth at 'The A Team', those trainers with two or three stars at their tracks, the overall figures are as follows:

2- & 3- Star Combo's	
Runs	745
Wins	345
Win %	46.31%
Win Profit	943.69
Places	468
Place %	62.82%
1pt EW Profit	1095.47
Win ROI	126.67%

46% is a sensational hit rate, so much so that I don't expect that it will be maintained. Rather I would perceive that 20-25% is a better expectation. Likewise, the 63% each way strike rate should probably be rounded down to circa 45%. Nevertheless, those numbers should hopefully ensure that losing runs are minimised.

Again, a return on investment of 127% should not be expected to be even nearly maintained, and here it is more difficult to ascertain what the likely ROI might be, given the combination of a degree of 'educated back-fitting' in the research, small sample sizes, and increasing market awareness.

What I am confident about is that all of these trainers' runners should be afforded close scrutiny if you're considering a bet in a race where they line up.

Appendix 2 B: 'The Full Squad'

The full squad, which includes all combinations regardless of number of stars, boasts a win strike rate of 42%, and an ROI of slightly north of 100%.

Again, by no manner of means do I expect a replication of those figures; but equally, it will be disappointing if the collective return fails to pay for all the losers and leave some bread on the table.

Naturally, early prices or Betfair SP will beat the SP returns.

All Combo's	
Runs	1569
Wins	666
Win %	42.45%
Win Profit	1618.19
Places	947
Place %	60.36%
1pt EW Profit	1862.67
Win ROI	103.14%

Appendix 3: The TTS Table

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
C Tizzard	Aintree	Class 1, 2m4f+	17	10	58.8%	28.32	13	76.5%	35.14	2.34	166.59%	3
H Fry	Aintree	All	24	7	29.2%	11.73	9	37.5%	5.49	1.29	48.88%	0
Dr R Newland	Ascot	Hurdles	9	5	55.6%	24.75	5	55.6%	26.64	3.68	275.00%	2
F M O'Brien	Ayr	All	9	6	66.7%	24.03	6	66.7%	26.50	2.5	267.00%	2
A Whillans	Ayr	All	18	6	33.3%	36.50	10	55.6%	45.03	2.54	202.78%	2
I Jardine	Ayr	Class 4-6	34	12	35.3%	35.98	22	64.7%	45.38	1.58	105.82%	1
Ms L Harrison	Ayr	All	17	5	29.4%	18.00	9	52.9%	22.20	2.07	105.88%	0
D Skelton	Ayr	All	39	14	35.9%	16.07	23	59.0%	18.92	1.34	41.21%	0
J Candlish	Bangor	Chases	11	5	45.5%	15.25	6	54.5%	15.73	2.53	138.64%	2
D Skelton	Bangor	All	43	17	39.5%	29.11	27	62.8%	34.06	1.46	67.70%	2
Miss L Russell	Bangor	Chases	11	5	45.5%	10.83	7	63.6%	14.43	2.1	98.45%	1
N Richards	Carlisle	Bumpers/Hurdles	36	17	47.2%	41.45	23	63.9%	43.77	1.72	115.14%	3
M Barnes	Carlisle	All	16	6	37.5%	28.50	10	62.5%	36.46	2.47	178.13%	2
S Crawford	Carlisle	BHughes/RJohnson	16	9	56.3%	14.31	11	68.8%	15.14	1.82	89.44%	1
N Hawke	Carlisle	All	17	7	41.2%	9.50	10	58.8%	10.27	1.43	55.88%	1
P Kirby	Catterick	Class 3-4	14	6	42.9%	18.88	11	78.6%	27.13	2.02	134.86%	2
S England	Catterick	2m4f+	20	9	45.0%	22.91	14	70.0%	29.49	2.1	114.55%	1
R Menzies	Catterick	All	24	8	33.3%	16.21	14	58.3%	21.13	1.8	67.54%	0
T George	Chepstow	Class 3-6	37	15	40.5%	20.21	19	51.4%	13.76	1.54	54.62%	2
B Case	Doncaster	All	14	6	42.9%	18.46	8	57.1%	22.25	2.42	131.86%	2
H Fry	Doncaster	All	13	6	46.2%	12.00	8	61.5%	14.16	1.81	92.31%	1
E Williams	Exeter	Handicaps	37	12	32.4%	25.13	16	43.2%	19.24	1.74	67.92%	1
N King	Exeter	All	17	6	35.3%	19.11	12	70.6%	30.20	1.69	112.41%	0
Ms L Wadham	Fakenham	Up to 2m4f	34	15	44.1%	35.38	21	61.8%	41.48	1.93	104.06%	3
A King	Fakenham	All	8	5	62.5%	18.83	6	75.0%	22.49	1.91	235.38%	2
C Williams	Fakenham	All	20	10	50.0%	17.29	12	60.0%	16.41	1.86	86.45%	1
K Bailey	Ffos Las	Up to 3m	12	6	50.0%	15.28	7	58.3%	17.30	1.98	127.33%	2
Mrs D Hamer	Ffos Las	Chases	12	5	41.7%	14.50	7	58.3%	17.26	2.06	120.83%	1
Ms V Williams	Ffos Las	Handicaps	20	8	40.0%	23.91	11	55.0%	25.17	2.05	119.55%	1
Mrs L Hill	Fontwell	All	13	8	61.5%	26.60	11	84.6%	36.20	2.94	204.62%	3
A Honeyball	Fontwell	All	53	22	41.5%	35.28	35	66.0%	41.58	1.34	66.57%	3
K Lee	Fontwell	All	8	5	62.5%	16.00	6	75.0%	19.93	2.88	200.00%	2
Ms V Williams	Hereford	6yo+	37	14	37.8%	31.73	19	51.4%	31.92	1.73	85.76%	1
A Whillans	Hexham	Hurdles	11	5	45.5%	18.38	7	63.6%	21.75	2.63	167.09%	2
Jedd O'Keeffe	Hexham	All	8	5	62.5%	10.42	7	87.5%	13.68	1.76	130.25%	2
N Richards	Hexham	All	23	12	52.2%	20.70	15	65.2%	21.74	1.54	90.00%	1
T Lacey	Huntingdon	Handicaps	11	5	45.5%	11.08	6	54.5%	10.09	1.59	100.73%	1
Miss S Forster	Kelso	Chases	14	7	50.0%	17.34	11	78.6%	25.58	2.68	123.86%	1
K Bailey	Kempton	2m4f+	15	7	46.7%	35.38	10	66.7%	44.23	2.54	235.87%	3
C Longsdon	Kempton	Chases	15	6	40.0%	26.25	8	53.3%	31.06	2.94	175.00%	3
B Pauling	Kempton	Non-handicaps	11	7	63.6%	24.44	9	81.8%	30.82	2.47	222.18%	2
T George	Leicester	Handicaps	28	12	42.9%	25.55	20	71.4%	36.01	1.99	91.25%	2

P Hobbs	Leicester	All	17	8	47.1%	14.63	15	88.2%	22.53	1.31	86.06%	1
Mrs C Bailey	Leicester	All	27	9	33.3%	11.99	16	59.3%	15.17	1.32	44.41%	0
Ms Z Davison	Lingfield	Class 3-4	17	8	47.1%	24.13	10	58.8%	27.10	2.41	141.94%	2
A Honeyball	Ludlow	All	7	5	71.4%	13.00	5	71.4%	14.08	2.51	185.71%	1
P Bowen	Ludlow	All	13	5	38.5%	14.88	5	38.5%	11.91	1.66	114.46%	0
G McPherson	Ludlow	Handicaps	13	5	38.5%	14.13	7	53.8%	16.51	2.12	108.69%	0
Dr R Newland	M'ket Rasen	Class 3-5	15	8	53.3%	23.63	10	66.7%	27.66	2.12	157.53%	2
H Fry	M'ket Rasen	All	23	9	39.1%	14.58	11	47.8%	9.86	1.34	63.39%	0
P Nicholls	Musselburgh	Handicaps	17	10	58.8%	23.03	12	70.6%	26.57	2.18	135.47%	2
C Longsdon	Musselburgh	All	14	5	35.7%	14.63	10	71.4%	22.03	1.54	104.50%	0
Nick Williams	Newbury	All	20	6	30.0%	17.00	12	60.0%	23.37	1.64	85.00%	0
W Greatrex	Newbury	All	40	12	30.0%	17.26	20	50.0%	19.10	1.48	43.15%	0
P Bowen	Perth	All	10	6	60.0%	15.26	8	80.0%	20.45	2.62	152.60%	2
F M O'Brien	Perth	Handicaps	25	8	32.0%	23.50	10	40.0%	19.22	1.6	94.00%	0
S Edmunds	Sandown	All	12	6	50.0%	27.13	8	66.7%	32.09	2.53	226.08%	3
F M O'Brien	Sandown	All	26	9	34.6%	18.13	12	46.2%	15.56	1.65	69.73%	0
N Mulholland	Sedgefield	All	35	17	48.6%	19.51	22	62.9%	18.79	1.39	55.74%	2
C Williams	Sedgefield	All	9	5	55.6%	10.79	6	66.7%	11.68	2.13	119.89%	1
G Bewley	Sedgefield	All	14	5	35.7%	9.88	8	57.1%	12.99	1.95	70.57%	0
E Williams	Sedgefield	All	29	11	37.9%	14.58	17	58.6%	13.71	1.45	50.28%	0
A Hales	Southwell	Handicaps	11	5	45.5%	28.50	9	81.8%	39.39	2.87	259.09%	3
L Morgan	Southwell	All	11	6	54.5%	18.88	6	54.5%	19.58	2.91	171.64%	2
Miss C Fryer	Southwell	All	13	5	38.5%	16.78	8	61.5%	22.03	1.98	129.08%	1
T George	Stratford	All	22	10	45.5%	13.07	13	59.1%	10.93	1.68	59.41%	1
N T-Davies	Taunton	Class 3-4	11	7	63.6%	24.15	7	63.6%	26.51	2.45	219.55%	2
T Lacey	Taunton	Up to 2m4f	12	5	41.7%	14.80	8	66.7%	19.39	2.01	123.33%	1
J Groucott	Uttoxeter	Chases	9	5	55.6%	16.13	5	55.6%	16.91	3.09	179.22%	2
W Greatrex	Uttoxeter	Cl 4-6 Non-Hcps	21	10	47.6%	25.35	14	66.7%	29.59	1.6	120.71%	2
K Lee	Uttoxeter	Class 3-4	24	9	37.5%	22.50	12	50.0%	23.09	1.85	93.75%	0
H Fry	Uttoxeter	All	31	12	38.7%	17.55	22	71.0%	26.28	1.41	56.61%	0
G L Moore	Warwick	Class 1-4	13	6	46.2%	28.50	8	61.5%	33.33	2.76	219.23%	3
N King	Warwick	All	17	5	29.4%	10.88	10	58.8%	17.26	1.75	64.00%	0
Dr R Newland	Wetherby	Chases	12	8	66.7%	21.05	8	66.7%	22.80	2.45	175.42%	2
P Kirby	Wetherby	All	76	26	34.2%	48.56	38	50.0%	49.83	1.76	63.89%	2
N T-Davies	Worcester	All	35	15	42.9%	26.63	18	51.4%	21.87	1.73	76.09%	3
P Hobbs	Worcester	Hurdles	22	12	54.5%	21.61	16	72.7%	26.28	1.6	98.23%	1

Appendix 4: How to find qualifiers

Identifying qualifiers is a simple process, as follows:

1. Check the racecard of your choice for today's meetings:

Ayr	Heavy ⌵	12:30	13:05	13:40	14:10	14:40	15:10	15:40		
Ffos Las	Heavy ⌵	12:45	13:15	13:50	14:20	14:50	15:20	15:50		
Exeter	Good/Firm ⌵	13:30	14:00	14:30	15:00	15:30	16:00	16:30	17:00	
Thurles	Yielding ⌵	14:15	14:45	15:15	15:45	16:15	16:45	17:20		
Southwell	Std/Slow ⌵	16:10	16:40	17:10	17:40	18:15	18:45	19:15	19:45	20:15
Chelmsford	Standard ⌵	17:30	18:00	18:30	19:00	19:30	20:00	20:30		

2. Go to the A-Z page on geegeez: <https://www.geegeez.co.uk/reports/a-to-z/>
3. Look for relevant trainer / track combinations on that page, e.g. A Whillans at Ayr:

Time	Course	#	Silk	Horse	Trainer	Jockey	Sire	Odds
13:05:00	Ayr	6		Ralphy Boy Two	A Whillans	Rowan Scott	Gutaifan	22/1
14:40:00	Ayr	5		Sienna Dream	A Whillans	Rowan Scott	Swiss Spirit	3/1
15:40:00	Ayr	11		Lizzie Loch	A Whillans	Rowan Scott	Maxios	11/1
12:30:00	Ayr	7		My Name's Nipper	M Walford	Dougie Costello	Due Diligence	9/2
13:40:00	Ayr	3		Risaalaat	M Walford	Jason Hart	Mukhadram	40/1
14:40:00	Ayr	12		Kodimoor	M Walford	Paula Muir	Kodiac	4/1
14:40:00	Ayr	11		Perfect Soldier	W Storey	Jason Hart	Kodiac	9/2
15:40:00	Ayr	8		Card High	W Storey	Paul Mulrennan	Red Clubs	6/1
13:05:00	Ayr	3		Granite City Doc	R Michael Smith	Andrew Mullen	Arabian Gleam	11/2
13:05:00	Ayr	7		Royal Regent	R Michael Smith	Patrick Mathers	Urgent Request	14/1
13:40:00	Ayr	8		Flying Moon	R Michael Smith	Patrick Mathers	Sea The Moon	4/1

4. Ensure criteria are met:

Trainer	Track	Conditions	Runs	Wins	Win %	Win PL	Places	EW %	EW PL	A/E	ROI	*
C Tizzard	Aintree	Class 1, 2m4f+	17	10	58.8%	28.32	13	76.5%	35.14	2.34	166.59%	3
H Fry	Aintree	All	24	7	29.2%	11.73	9	37.5%	5.49	1.29	48.88%	0
Dr R Newland	Ascot	Hurdles	9	5	55.6%	24.75	5	55.6%	26.64	3.68	275.00%	2
F M O'Brien	Ayr	All	9	6	66.7%	24.03	6	66.7%	26.50	2.5	267.00%	2
A Whillans	Ayr	All	18	6	33.3%	36.50	10	55.6%	45.03	2.54	202.78%	2

[In this example, we can see that A Whillans at Ayr has 'All' for conditions]

5. Check the odds. Runners at 10/1 or shorter qualify. Here, it looks very likely that Sienna Dream WILL qualify, and Ralphy Boy Two will NOT qualify. But Lizzie Loch, showing at 11/1, is on the cusp and could go either way. This is where judgement is required. Over time, it will even itself out but we will back non-qualifiers and miss qualifiers. That's life, get over it ;-)
6. Decide whether or not to make a bet. Your call.
7. That's it – enjoy!